

Attorney Docket: 42390P10775

*g/Election  
w/Amend. B  
Chuska  
8/30/02*

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:  
Donald S. Gardner

Serial No. 09/766,162

Filed: January 19, 2001

For: Integrated Inductor

Group Art: 2832

Examiner: Nguyen, Tuyen

Commissioner of Patents  
Box Non-Fee Amendment  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Certificate of Facsimile

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via  
facsimile to 703-872-9318

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

on August 23, 2002 (Date)  
Printed Name Deborah Rozekowski  
Signature Deborah Rozekowski

RESPONSE

FAX COPY RECEIVED

AUG 23 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Dear Sir,

In response to the Office Action dated July 30, 2002, Applicant respectfully responds to the restriction requirement by electing to prosecute Group I, claims 1-18. Please cancel claims 19-37 without traverse. Applicant reserves the right to prosecute the canceled claims in a divisional application.

In the response to the restriction requirements in the Office Action dated June 27, 2002, Applicant inadvertently overlooked the second part of the restriction requirement, which was to select a species for prosecution, and to identify the claims readable on the selected species. The species indicated in that Office Action are:

Attorney Docket: 42390P10775

Embodiment 1 – Figs. 1-2

Embodiment 2 – Figs. 3-8

Embodiment 3 – Figs. 9-10

Embodiment 4 – Fig. 11

Embodiment 5 – Fig. 13

Embodiment 6 – Fig. 14

Applicant maintains that the above-listed embodiments 1, 2 and 3 represent a single embodiment, for the following reasons. At page 11 lines 5-6 of the specification, Fig. 3 is described as a cross-sectional view of a portion of Fig. 1. Thus Figs. 1 and 3 represent the same embodiment. Since Figs. 1 and 2 have been designated as a single embodiment by the Examiner, Figs. 3-8 have been designated as a single embodiment by the Examiner, and Figs. 1 and 3 have been described as the same embodiment in the specification, Applicant maintains that Figs. 1-10 should be considered a single embodiment.

Applicant selects the species embodiment represented by Figs. 1-10 for prosecution. Independent claims 1 and 12 each read on the selected species. Dependent claims 2-11 and 13-18 depend from claims 1 and 12, respectively, and therefore also read on the selected species. Therefore, elected claims 1-18 read on the selected species represented by Figs. 1-10, which has been selected for prosecution.

Attorney Docket: 42390P10775

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOLOKOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Date: 8-23-02

John Travis

John Travis  
Reg. No. 43,203

12400 Wilshire Blvd  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025-1026  
(512) 330-0844

FAX COPY RECEIVED

AUG 23 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800